From: Naylor, Philippa [mailto:Philippa.Naylor@HistoricEngland.org.uk]

Sent: 08 February 2019 14:44 **To:** Hornsea Project Three

Cc: Pater, Chris; Fletcher, Will; Martin, Eric

Subject: EN10080 - Historic England Response Deadline 6

Dear Sir or Madam,

Please find attached Historic England's response to Deadline 6.

Kind regards, Pip

Pip Naylor
Marine Planning Archaeological Officer
Planning Group
Eastgate Court, 195 - 205 High Street, Guildford, Surrey, GU1 3EH
Phone:

Follow the work of the Historic England Marine and Coastal Network on twitter:

@HE Maritime

To be added to our regular Marine and Coastal Newsletter email list please sign up here. The eNewsletter provides regular updates on marine and coastal news from Historic England and the wider sector straight to your inbox.



We are the public body that helps people care for, enjoy and celebrate England's spectacular historic environment, from beaches and battlefields to parks and pie shops.

Follow us: Facebook | Twitter | Instagram Sign up to our newsletter

This e-mail (and any attachments) is confidential and may contain personal views which are not the views of Historic England unless specifically stated. If you have received it in error, please delete it from your system and notify the sender immediately. Do not use, copy or disclose the information in any way nor act in reliance on it. Any information sent to Historic England may become publicly available. We respect your privacy and the use of your information. Please read our full privacy policy for more information.

This email has been scanned by the Symantec Email Security.cloud service. For more information please visit http://www.symanteccloud.com

Mr David Prentis Our ref: OWF/Hornsea

Lead Member of the Panel of Examining Inspectors Three

for Hornsea Project Three Offshore Wind Farm PINs Ref: EN10080

National Infrastructure Planning

Temple Quay House 2 The Square Registration 20010680

Bristol ID No.

Telephone: 01462 742998

8th February 2019

Dear Mr Prentis,

BS1 6PN

Please find below the post-hearing submissions from Historic England, as requested for Examination Deadline 6.

<u>Written submission of oral case – Issue Specific Hearing held on Wednesday</u> 30th January 2019 (draft Development Consent Order)

In relation to agenda item 4a, we agree that within Article 2 (Interpretation) the outline Offshore Written Scheme of Investigations (WIS) should be referred to as such, in line with the reference to the outline Onshore WSI.

In relation to agenda item 5e and 5j, we accept the amendments made to Requirements 8 and 16 of the Development Consent Order (DCO), with the minor correction that it is Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England, which should be applied throughout the DCO.

With regard to agenda item 6c, we accept the amendments made to Condition 13(1)(d)(vii) of the DCO.

In relation to agenda item 6f, we made a further representation regarding our previous advice on Condition 14(1) regarding the need to have the Offshore WSI completed and agreed as early as possible, in order for it to be produced prior to the commencement of any pre-commencement surveys, to enable the WSI to inform both survey methodologies and other plans and schemes as set out in the DCO.

In relation to agenda item 6g, we are encouraged by the inclusion of additional wording within Condition 17(2)(e) Schedule 11. However, the condition needs to detail either the option to complete 100% coverage of side scan sonar data, or the review of a method statement to ensure the suitability of the survey methodology for archaeological purposes.

We further offered the following comments under agenda item 6k; with regards to Condition 19(2)(f) of Schedule 11, we are largely content with the wording presented.

However, the condition needs to detail either the option to complete 100% coverage of side scan sonar data, or the review of a method statement to ensure the suitability of the survey methodology for archaeological purposes.

With regard to agenda item 8i, we noted that intertidal archaeology is covered within the outline Offshore WSI, and that this should be explicitly referenced within the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP). Having reviewed the CoCP and outline Offshore WSI again subsequently, we confirm that whilst the outline Offshore WSI is explicit in its coverage of intertidal project areas up to Mean High Water Springs, the methodologies provided within the outline Offshore WSI only relate to mitigation measures and surveys from a seaward approach. It should be noted that intertidal mitigation measures and surveys may also be conducted from a landward or terrestrial approach. As such, the methodologies within the outline Offshore WSI and the reference made to it in the CoCP should be amended to ensure provisions for both approaches are described.

Yours sincerely,



Pip Naylor,

Marine Planning Archaeological Officer

Email: Pip.Naylor@HistoricEngland.org.uk

cc: Dr Christopher Pater (Head of Marine Planning - Historic England)

Dr Will Fletcher (Inspector for Ancient Monuments – Historic England)